Uttlesford District Council

Equality impact assessment (EqIA) tool

What is this tool for?

This tool will help you to assess the impact of existing or new strategies, policies, projects, contracts or decisions on residents and staff. It will help you to deliver excellent services, by making sure that they reflect the needs of all members of the community and workforce.

What should be equality impact assessed?

You only need to equality impact assess strategies, policies, projects, contracts or decisions that are **relevant** to equality. If you are not sure whether your activity is relevant to equality take the 'relevance test' on Page 9.

How do I use the tool?

This tool is easy to use and you do not need expert knowledge to complete it. It asks you to make judgments based on evidence.

The tool uses a system of red flags to give you an indication of whether or not your responses are identifying potential issues. Getting a red flag does not necessarily indicate a problem, but it does mean that your assessment is highlighting issues or gaps in data that may require further investigation or action.

| Gei | neral information | |
|-----|---|--|
| 1 | Name of strategy, policy, project, contract or decision. | Localisation of Council Tax Support (LCTS) Policy 2014-2015 |
| 2 | What is the overall purpose of the strategy, policy, project, contract or decision? | To set up a fair and equitable scheme to help people with low incomes to afford their Council Tax, and in line with the Local Government Finance Bill. |
| 3 | Who may be affected by the strategy, policy, project, contract or decision? | Y Residents Y A specific client groups (please state): Those liable for Council Tax within the District; Any authority that can levy a charge to contribute to Council Tax (Essex County Council, Essex Police and Essex Fire) The scheme benefits those on low incomes by providing them with support to pay their Council Tax. In particular it provides full protection to Pensioners (as prescribed within the requirements of the legislation) and includes locally determined protections for vulnerable groups and incentives to encourage people into work. |
| 4 | Responsible department and Head of Division. | Department: Revenues & Benefits Head of Division: Stephen Joyce |

2

| 5 | Are other departments or partners involved in delivery of the strategy, policy, project, contract or decision? | Y (Uttlest precedure) Esse | Yes Sford is working verting authorities x-wide framework constraints import | in Essex k, with lo | x to develop an ocal variations, |
|-----|--|----------------------------|---|------------------------|----------------------------------|
| Gat | thering performance data | | | | |
| 6 | Do you (or do you intend to) collect this monitoring data in relation to any of the following diverse groups? | Y | Age | Y | Disability |
| | | Y | Sex | | Race |
| | | | Gender Reassignment | | Sexual Orientation |
| | | | Religion & Belief | | Pregnancy and Maternity |
| | | Y | Marriage and Civil Partnerships | Y | Rural Isolation |
| | | | | | |

Older people (60+) are protected in the policy which follows specific Government regulations. The policy has been specifically designed with safeguards for vulnerable people, particularly with regard to child poverty and disability. Parents will continue to receive a child allowance per child (currently £65.62) and family premium (£17.40) as part of the calculation, which is consistent with the Council's duty to safeguard and promote the welfare of children. Working parents will receive a higher disregard of their earnings to reflect their childcare costs. Certain Disability Benefits (Disability Living Allowance and Personal Independence Payments) will continue to be disregarded thereby protecting those with specific long term conditions who fall within this group. People with disabilities or long term sick will continue to receive additional premiums as part of the calculation of entitlement. Without these actions, designed to protect these groups, the policy could potentially be discriminatory. People over 18 of working age will be required to pay more. Dependants under the age of 18 years old may be disadvantaged indirectly if their parents have to pay more as a result of this policy. No impact specific to Sex, Race, Sexual Orientation; Gender Reassignment; Religion and Belief; Marriage and Civil Partnership; Pregnancy and Maternity has been identified

| 7 | How do you (or how do you intend to) monitor the impact of the strategy, policy, project, contract or decision? | Y | | s or targets |
|---|---|---|----------------------|--------------|
| | | | | |
| | | Y | Uptake | |
| | | Y | | ement |
| | | | | data |
| | | Y | Complaints | |
| | | | | |
| | | Y | Eligibility criteria | |
| | | Y | | |
| | | | None 🏲 | |

| Ana | alysing performance data | |
|-----|--|---|
| 8 | Consider the impact the strategy, policy, project, contract or decision has already achieved, measured by | Yes * |
| | the monitoring data you collect. Is the same impact being achieved for diverse groups as is being achieved | X No* |
| | across the population or workforce as a whole? | Insufficient * |
| | | Not applicable ** |
| | | *Please state your evidence for this, including full document titles and dates of publication for audit purposes. Where applicable please also state the nature of any issues identified: |
| | | The changes impact only on working age households currently in receipt of Council Tax Benefit – about 3.9% of the total households in Uttlesford. |
| | | The key elements of the proposed scheme impact equally across the affected group in proportion to their Council Tax Band. |
| | | Allowances and premiums currently awarded under housing benefit rules; and certain disregarded disability benefits similar to the housing benefit rules will help mitigate the impact to long term sick and disabled households; and by treating disabled households as vulnerable and exempt from the key elements of the scheme gives low income disabled households additional protection. Families with pre-school and school age children will continue to have allowances and premiums currently awarded under housing benefit rules to help mitigate the impact, and child benefit and maintenance for children will continue to disregarded. Households with the very lowest incomes and savings will not have a greater than 12.5% Council Tax liability |
| 9 | Is uptake of any services, benefits or | Y Yes * |

| | opportunities associated with the strategy, policy, project, contract or decision generally representative of diverse groups? | *Please state your evidence for this, including full document titles and dates of publication for audit purposes. Where applicable please also state the nature of any issues identified: Northgate modeling tool "R:\Local Council Tax\cabinet24-10-2013\working papers\CTS2014.xlsm" R:\Local Council Tax\cabinet24-10-2013\working papers\ impact max-min and average.xls R:\ Local Council Tax\cabinet 24-10-2013\working papers\CTXimpact by parish.xls |
|-----|---|---|
| Che | ecking delivery arrangements | |
| 10 | | y of your delivery arrangements against the rlinks for more detailed guidance about the |
| | If assessing a proposed strategy, policy anticipate compliance by launch of impl | y, project, contract or decision, indicate 'Yes' if you lementation. Yes No N/A |
| | The <u>premises</u> for delivery are accessible | e to all. |
| | Consultation mechanisms are inclusive | |
| | | olders will be given an opportunity to comment |
| | Participation mechanisms are inclusive If you answered 'No' to any of the quest any legal justification. | of all tions above please explain why giving details of |

Due to the challenging timeline for consultation, evaluation of responses; cabinet and full council approval before budget setting, consultation was limited to a 7 week period. As this is part of an Essex framework, we wanted to keep our consultation period in line with other Essex authorities. We are required to consult with major preepting authorities as well as public consultation.

| Che | ecking information and communication a | rrangements | |
|-------|--|---|---|
| 11 | You now need to check the accessiblity of arrangements against the requirements be guidance about the minimum criteria you sl | low. Click on the hyperlink | |
| | If assessing a proposed strategy policy, pro anticipate compliance by launch of impleme | | ndicate 'Yes' if you |
| | Customer contact mechanisms are access | ible to all. | Yes No N/A |
| | Electronic, web-based and paper information | on is accessible to all. | Y |
| | Publicity campaigns are inclusive of all. | | Y |
| | Images and text in documentation are repre | esentative and inclusive of | Y |
| | all. If you answered 'No' to any of the question any legal justification. | s above please explain why | , giving details of |
| Fut | ure Impact | | |
| 12 | Think about what your strategy, policy, projover the long term and the ways in which it to take a step back and consider the practic project, contract or decision in the future. A groups will not be inadvertently excluded fractivities, it is also an opportunity to think a reach as many people as possible and real in Uttlesford regardless of their background is it likely to inadvertently exclude or disadvertently. | will seek to do this. This is cal implementation of your says well as checking that peo om or disadvantaged by an bout how you can maximized by make a difference to the lor circumstances. | your opportunity strategy, policy, ople from diverse y proposed e your impact, lives of everyone |
| | No No Yes * * | | ling to diverse |
| | <u> </u> | | ome households, lent funding will |
| Fast- | track equality impact assessment Jan 2010 | | y; working age vill be impacted il ty |

| | *Please state any potential issues identified. | | | | |
|-----|--|---|--|--|--|
| Imp | provement actions | | | | |
| 13 | int | Yes No* Not applicable Yes, please describe your proposed action/s, ended impact, monitoring arrangements olementation date and lead officer: | | | |
| Mal | king a judgement – conclusions and n | ext steps | | | |
| 14 | Following this fast-track assessment, ple | ease confirm the f | ollowing: | | |
| | There are no inequalities identified that cannot be easily addressed or legally justified | | No further action required. Complete this form and implement any actions you identified in Q13 above | | |
| | There is insufficient evidence to make a robust judgement. | | Additional evidence gathering required (go to Q17 on Page 7 below). | | |
| | Inequalities have been identified which cannot be easily addressed. | | Action planning required (go to Q18 on Page 8 below). | | |
| 15 | If you have any additional comments to make, please include here. | | | | |
| Cor | mpletion | | | | |
| 16 | Name and job title (Assessment lead officer) | Ann Parry-Jones Project Officer | 3 | | |
| | Name/s of any assisting officers and people consulted during assessment: Date: Date of next review: For new strategies, policies, projects, contracts or decisions this should be one year from implementation. | Sue Mustill, Sue October 2013 July 2014 | Ellis, Stephen Joyce | | |

LCTS EQIA - data table

Impact Assessment showing number of claimants impacted by the UDC proposals for 2014/15 (22/9/2013 data) showing the max, min and average weekly change from 2013/14 by group

| (22/9/2013 data) showing the max, min and average wee | жту спа | ige iron | 12013/ | | pup |
|--|---------|----------|--------|------------|--------|
| | | | | mimimum | |
| | | | av | weekly | max |
| | worse | no | weekly | loss(-) or | weekly |
| category of claimant | off | change | loss | gain (+) | loss |
| working age non vulnerable | 1321 | 0 | | | |
| working age disabled | | 462 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| pension age | | 2078 | n/a | n/a | n/a |
| "passported" non vulnerable -but qualify for maximum support | 609 | 0 | £0.90 | £0.57 | £2.34 |
| number of non vulnerable households with children | 875 | 0 | £0.95 | £0.56 | £2.34 |
| number of children in non vulnerable households | 1591 | | | | |
| lone parents (non vulnerable) | 621 | | £0.87 | £0.56 | £1.92 |
| lone parent (non vulnerable) with one child | 315 | | £0.84 | £0.56 | £1.91 |
| lone parent (non vulnerable) with two child | 203 | | £0.88 | £0.66 | £1.69 |
| lone parent (non vulnerable) with three children | 77 | | £0.89 | £0.57 | £1.62 |
| lone parent (non vulnerable) more than 3 children | 26 | | £1.01 | £0.66 | £1.92 |
| number of children in non vulnerable lone parent families | 1068 | | | | |
| lone parents with child aged 3 or younger | 187 | | £0.83 | £0.57 | £1.73 |
| lone parents with child aged 5 or younger | 261 | | £0.84 | £0.57 | £1.92 |
| Working age single claimants (no partner or children) excl | | | | | |
| vulnerable | 387 | | £0.78 | £0.56 | £1.66 |
| working age single claimants (no partner or children) incl | | | | | |
| vulnerable | 616 | | | | |
| couples with children (excl vulnerable) | 254 | | £1.15 | £0.75 | £1.95 |
| number of children in household with 2 parents | 523 | | | | |
| couples with children (incl vulnerable) | 312 | | | | |
| number of children in household with 2 parents | 638 | | | | |
| couples no children (excl vulnerable) | 46 | | £1.14 | £0.81 | £1.75 |
| couples no children (incl vulnerable) | 110 | | | | |
| claimant and/or partner in employment (excl vulnerable) | 464 | | | | |
| claimant/partner in employment with children (excl | | | | | |
| vulnerable) | 377 | | | | |
| lone parents in employment | 273 | | £0.90 | £0.56 | £1.73 |
| household with non deps to help out | 131 | | | | |
| household with non deps expected to contribute | 76 | | | | |
| female with no partner | 665 | | | | |
| female with partner | 116 | | | | |
| male with no partner | 118 | | | | |
| male with partner | 142 | | | | |
| total adult females worse off | 923 | | | | |
| total adult males worse off | 376 | | | | |

Council

LCTS EQIA – worked examples

| 'Passported' claimant (ι | inemployed |) getting | max aw | ard Band | H proper | rtv |
|-------------------------------|--------------|---------------|----------|-----------|-----------|--------------|
| current annual liability | 3044.62 | , , , , , , , | | | | -, |
| weekly liability | 58.39 | | weekly p | avment | | |
| support at 91.5% | 53.43 | | 4.96 | T I | | |
| support at 87.5% | 51.09 | | 7.30 | | | |
| additonal weekly payment | | | 2.34 | | | |
| 'Passported' claimant (s | hort term s | ick) getti | ng max a | ward Bar | nd A prop | perty |
| current annual liability | 732.21 | | | | | • |
| weekly liability | 14.04 | | weekly p | ayment | | |
| support at 91.5% | 12.85 | | 1.19 | | | |
| support at 87.5% | 12.29 | | 1.76 | | | |
| additonal weekly payment | | | 0.56 | | | |
| Lone Parent - working p | art-time - e | xcess inc | ome- so | taper ap | olies Ban | d C property |
| current annual liability | 987.39 | | | | | |
| weekly liability | 18.94 | taper | award | weekly pa | yment | |
| support at 91.5% | 17.33 | 14.30 | 3.02 | 15.91 | | |
| support at 87.5% | 16.57 | 14.30 | 2.27 | 16.67 | | |
| additonal weekly payment | | | | 0.76 | | |
| Taper calculation | | | | | | |
| weekly income | 226.24 | | | | | |
| applicable amount | 154.72 | | | | | |
| excess weekly income | 71.52 | | | | | |
| taper at 20% of excess income | 14.30 | | | | | |
| Couple one child - work | | ie - exces | s incom | e so tape | applies | Band C prope |
| current annual liability | 1405.41 | | | | | |
| weekly liability | 26.95 | taper | award | weekly pa | yment | |
| support at 91.5% | 24.66 | 0.74 | 23.92 | 3.03 | | |
| support at 87.5% | 23.58 | 0.74 | 22.84 | 4.11 | | |
| additonal weekly payment | | | | 1.08 | | |
| Taper calculation | | | | | | |
| weekly income | 199.28 | | | | | |
| applicable amount | 195.57 | | | | | |
| excess weekly income | 3.71 | | | | | |
| taper at 20% of excess income | 0.74 | | | | | |

11